

## **Reconstructing a Human Excellence Development Model: Integrating Faith, Knowledge, Action, and Growth Mindset**

Abd. Kadim Masaong<sup>1</sup>, Muh. Firyal Akbar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Jenderal Sudirman Street, No. 6, Gorontalo, 96128, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Universitas Muhammadiyah Gorontalo, A.A Wahab Street, Pentadio Timur Village, Gorontalo, 96183, Indonesia

Email: [abd.kadim@ung.ac.id](mailto:abd.kadim@ung.ac.id)

Received: August 13, 2026

Received in Revised: November 5, 2026

Accepted: October 7, 2026

### **Abstract**

Human excellence development requires an integrated approach that extends beyond intellectual achievement and technical competence to encompass moral integrity, spiritual values, adaptive thinking, and meaningful contributions to society. Although human development is frequently examined through educational, psychological, and managerial perspectives, the integration of spiritual foundations, knowledge acquisition, purposeful action, and growth mindset warrants further conceptual examination. This article aims to reconstruct a human excellence development model by integrating faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset within a holistic educational framework. Drawing primarily on the conceptual arguments presented in Self-management and Growth Mindset, the article employs a conceptual reconstruction approach to identify the relationships among spiritual orientation, intellectual development, behavioral transformation, and continuous personal growth. The proposed framework conceptualizes faith as a normative foundation, knowledge as an intellectual resource, action as the practical expression of values and capabilities, and growth mindset as a developmental orientation that supports learning, adaptation, and perseverance. These dimensions are organized within a developmental structure consisting of foundational values, enabling capabilities, and multidimensional human development outcomes. The article proposes that human excellence should be understood not merely in terms of individual achievement but also through ethical conduct, personal autonomy, social responsibility, and contributions to collective well-being. The framework offers a conceptual basis for future research on holistic education, character development, and human resource development, while highlighting the need for empirical examination across educational and organizational contexts.

**Keywords:** Human Excellence; Faith; Knowledge; Growth Mindset

### **Introduction**

Human development has increasingly become a multidimensional concern in contemporary educational discourse, particularly in response to technological advancement, social transformation, and the growing demand for adaptable and responsible individuals. The development of human capabilities extends beyond the acquisition of intellectual knowledge and technical competence to include moral judgment, personal autonomy, and the capacity to contribute meaningfully to society. Nussbaum (2011) emphasizes that human development should be evaluated through the substantive capabilities individuals possess to pursue lives they have reason to value, rather than through conventional measures of achievement alone.

Similarly, Ryan and Deci (2000) highlight the importance of psychological needs, autonomous motivation, and supportive social environments in facilitating personal development and well-being. These perspectives suggest that human excellence requires an integrated understanding of intellectual, psychological, ethical, and social dimensions.

Education plays a fundamental role in cultivating these dimensions by shaping not only individuals' knowledge and competencies but also their character, values, and behavioral orientations. Nevertheless, educational achievement does not necessarily translate into moral responsibility, independent judgment, or constructive social participation. Kristjánsson (2013) argues that character and virtue education remain important areas of educational inquiry, despite continuing debates about their conceptual foundations and practical implementation. Meanwhile, Zimmerman (2002) demonstrates the significance of self-regulated learning in enabling individuals to direct their learning processes through goal setting, strategic behavior, and self-reflection. Taken together, these perspectives indicate that educational development requires sustained attention to the relationship between cognitive competence, moral formation, and behavioral self-regulation.

The relationship between knowledge, personal values, and practical behavior is particularly important in understanding the formation of human excellence. Knowledge provides the intellectual resources necessary for critical thinking, problem-solving, and informed decision-making, while personal values influence the purposes toward which these capabilities are directed. However, intellectual competence alone does not ensure that individuals will consistently translate their understanding into responsible action. Ryan and Deci (2000) explain that human motivation and behavioral engagement are closely associated with psychological conditions that support autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Similarly, Kristjánsson (2013) emphasizes the importance of examining character development in relation to moral conduct and the situations in which individuals act. These arguments provide a foundation for considering human excellence as an interaction between intellectual development, normative commitments, and behavioral implementation.

Within the Islamic educational tradition, the interconnected concepts of *iman* (faith), *ilmu* (knowledge), and *amal* (action) provide a normative foundation for understanding human development. Faith establishes a spiritual and moral orientation, knowledge enables individuals to understand their responsibilities and develop intellectual capabilities, and action represents the practical realization of beliefs and understanding. This perspective is consistent with broader philosophical discussions that recognize human development as involving both the cultivation of capabilities and the pursuit of meaningful purposes. Nussbaum (2011) places human dignity and the development of substantive capabilities at the center of her approach, while Steger (2012) examines meaning-making as an important dimension of how individuals understand and orient their lives. Although these perspectives emerge from different intellectual traditions, they offer complementary points of discussion concerning the relationship between human capabilities, values, and purposeful action.

Beyond moral orientation and intellectual capability, human excellence also depends on individuals' willingness to learn, respond to challenges, and improve their abilities over time. Growth mindset offers a relevant psychological perspective by emphasizing the belief that human abilities can be developed rather than being permanently fixed. Dweck and Yeager (2019) explain that mindset research has examined how beliefs about the malleability of abilities influence responses to challenges, resilience, and learning-related behavior. Zimmerman (2002) further identifies self-regulated learning as a process through which individuals actively manage their learning goals, strategies, and self-evaluation. These perspectives suggest that growth mindset and self-regulation may serve complementary

developmental functions, with the former shaping beliefs about the possibility of improvement and the latter supporting the processes through which improvement is pursued.

The integration of growth mindset with faith, knowledge, and action provides an opportunity to reconsider human excellence through a more comprehensive conceptual framework. Growth mindset emphasizes the developmental potential of human abilities, while self-regulation concerns the management of behavior toward desired goals. However, the purposes guiding individual development also require attention, particularly when personal achievement is considered alongside ethical responsibility and social contribution. Dweck and Yeager (2019) acknowledge the importance of contextual conditions in understanding how mindset-related processes operate, while Ryan and Deci (2000) demonstrate that motivation and personal development are shaped by the interaction between individuals and their social environments. From this perspective, human excellence may be understood as a developmental process in which intellectual growth and adaptive behavior are guided by meaningful values and expressed through responsible action.

A further conceptual foundation for this integration is provided by the manuscript *Self Management and Growth Mindset*, which presents human excellence as the outcome of interconnected spiritual, intellectual, behavioral, and developmental processes. The manuscript conceptualizes faith, knowledge, and action as mutually reinforcing dimensions and illustrates human development through an architectural analogy consisting of foundational values, supporting developmental capabilities, and educational outcomes. This perspective resonates with Nussbaum's (2011) emphasis on multidimensional human capabilities and Zimmerman's (2002) understanding of development as an active process involving self-directed learning and behavioral regulation. Nevertheless, the manuscript's conceptual relationships require systematic reconstruction to clarify the distinctive roles of each dimension and explain how they may collectively contribute to human excellence.

Although existing scholarship provides substantial insights into human capabilities, moral education, motivation, and growth mindset, these perspectives are frequently developed around different theoretical questions and analytical priorities. For example, Nussbaum (2011) primarily examines human capabilities and dignity, Kristjánsson (2013) addresses character and virtue education, and Dweck and Yeager (2019) focus on beliefs concerning the development of human abilities. These contributions offer valuable but conceptually distinct perspectives on human development. The present article therefore identifies a need for theoretical integration rather than assuming that the existing literature has entirely neglected the relationships among moral orientation, intellectual capability, purposeful action, and adaptive learning. Specifically, further conceptual clarification is required to explain how faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset can be organized within a coherent model of human excellence development.

Against this background, this article aims to reconstruct a human excellence development model by integrating faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset within a holistic educational framework. Drawing on the conceptual foundations of *Self Management and Growth Mindset* and engaging with established perspectives on human capabilities, character education, motivation, and self-regulated learning, the article examines the distinctive functions and potential relationships of these four dimensions. Nussbaum (2011) provides a philosophical basis for understanding multidimensional human development, while Dweck and Yeager (2019) contribute a psychological perspective on developmental beliefs and adaptive learning. Building on these perspectives, the article proposes an integrated framework in which faith provides normative orientation, knowledge develops intellectual capability, action translates values and understanding into practice, and growth mindset supports continuous learning and

improvement. The proposed framework is intended to contribute to theoretical discussions on holistic human development and provide a foundation for future empirical research in educational and organizational settings.

## Methods

This study employs a conceptual research approach using theoretical synthesis to reconstruct an integrated model of human excellence development based on faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset. Conceptual research enables the systematic examination, clarification, and integration of theoretical constructs to generate a coherent framework and advance scholarly understanding (MacInnis, 2011; Jaakkola, 2020). The primary source is the manuscript *Self Management and Growth Mindset*, particularly its discussions of self-management and behavioral development, the interconnected roles of *iman* (faith), *ilmu* (knowledge), and *amal* (action), and the conceptualization of human excellence through an architectural analogy involving foundational values, supporting capabilities, and developmental outcomes. Relevant scholarly literature on human development, motivation, character education, and self-regulated learning is used to contextualize and strengthen the theoretical interpretation. The units of analysis comprise the central concepts, underlying assumptions, and proposed relationships among the four dimensions of human excellence.

The conceptual reconstruction is organized into four analytical stages: identifying and defining the principal constructs, examining their theoretical relationships, synthesizing the constructs into an integrated developmental framework, and formulating conceptual propositions for future investigation. Faith is conceptualized as a normative and spiritual foundation, knowledge as a source of intellectual capability, action as the practical expression of values and competencies, and growth mindset as a developmental orientation supporting continuous learning and adaptability. These dimensions are integrated into a framework comprising foundational values, developmental capabilities, and multidimensional human excellence outcomes, including ethical integrity, intellectual competence, independence, adaptability, and social contribution. The reconstruction emphasizes conceptual clarity, theoretical coherence, and the complementary functions of the proposed constructs (MacInnis, 2011; Jaakkola, 2020). As this study does not involve primary empirical data, the proposed model and its relationships are presented as theoretical propositions requiring further empirical validation in educational and organizational contexts.

## Results and Discussion

### Faith, Knowledge, and Action as the Foundations of Human Excellence

The conceptual analysis identifies faith, knowledge, and action as three interconnected foundations of human excellence. The source manuscript presents *iman* (faith) as the foundation that provides moral direction and purpose, *ilmu* (knowledge) as the intellectual resource that enables individuals to understand and address life's challenges, and *amal* (action) as the practical expression of beliefs and knowledge. Their relationship suggests that intellectual achievement or personal motivation alone cannot adequately explain human excellence. Rather, it requires integrating normative commitments, cognitive capabilities, and responsible conduct. This interpretation is consistent with Nussbaum's (2011) capabilities approach, which emphasizes the importance of substantive human opportunities and dignity, and Kristjánsson's (2013) discussion of character and virtue education, which highlights the significance of moral development within educational practice.

Faith occupies a distinctive position in this framework because it establishes the normative orientation through which knowledge and action acquire meaning. Within the Islamic perspective developed in the manuscript, faith is not confined to religious belief but is

expressed through responsibility, honesty, gratitude, and concern for others. These qualities provide a moral foundation for directing individual capabilities toward constructive purposes. Steger (2012) identifies meaning-making as an important aspect of how individuals interpret their experiences and orient their lives, while Ryan and Deci (2000) explain that internalized values and autonomous motivation are relevant to sustained behavioral engagement. Although these theories do not directly establish the developmental effects of religious faith, they help clarify why purpose and personally endorsed values may be relevant to human development. Faith is therefore positioned in the proposed model as a normative foundation rather than as a universally established psychological determinant of excellence.

Knowledge constitutes the second foundation by enabling individuals to transform moral intentions into informed judgment and effective problem-solving. The manuscript describes knowledge as a source of illumination that guides individuals in understanding their responsibilities and selecting appropriate courses of action. This interpretation suggests that knowledge contributes not only to academic competence but also to the capacity for reflection, evaluation, and responsible decision-making. Zimmerman (2002) demonstrates that effective learning involves active engagement in goal setting, strategic planning, and self-evaluation, while Nussbaum (2011) emphasizes that the development of human capabilities is essential for meaningful participation in individual and social life. Accordingly, knowledge is conceptualized as a developmental resource that strengthens individuals' capacity to interpret circumstances and translate their values into informed choices.

Action represents the practical dimension through which faith and knowledge become visible in everyday conduct. The source manuscript emphasizes that beliefs and knowledge have limited developmental significance when they remain disconnected from meaningful practice. From this perspective, *amal* extends beyond individual productivity to include ethical conduct, social responsibility, and contributions to collective well-being. Zimmerman (2002) identifies behavioral regulation and self-monitoring as important components of effective goal-directed learning, whereas Ryan and Deci (2000) explain how motivational processes influence sustained engagement in purposeful activities. These perspectives support the conceptual distinction between possessing knowledge and consistently applying it. The proposed model therefore treats action as a necessary behavioral expression of human development, while recognizing that its quality depends on both intellectual competence and normative orientation.

### **Growth Mindset as a Developmental Mechanism**

A second major result of the conceptual reconstruction concerns the role of growth mindset in connecting foundational values with continuous personal development. The source manuscript describes growth mindset as the belief that intelligence, skills, and personal capabilities can be improved through learning, sustained effort, effective strategies, and reflection on failure. This perspective corresponds with Dweck and Yeager's (2019) account of mindset theory, which examines how beliefs about the malleability of abilities influence individuals' responses to challenges and learning opportunities. Importantly, growth mindset should not be interpreted as the assumption that effort alone guarantees success. Rather, its developmental relevance depends on the interaction of learning strategies, feedback, opportunities, and supportive environments. This qualification strengthens the manuscript's original emphasis on perseverance by situating it within a more comprehensive understanding of learning and adaptation.

The analysis further suggests that growth mindset and self-management perform complementary functions in human excellence development. Growth mindset concerns individuals' beliefs about their potential for improvement, whereas self-management involves the practical regulation of goals, emotions, decisions, and behavior. The source manuscript

discusses the management of personal needs, emotional responses, behavioral patterns, and future aspirations as essential aspects of individual development. Zimmerman (2002) conceptualizes self-regulated learning as an active process involving planning, monitoring, and reflection, while Ryan and Deci (2000) emphasize the importance of autonomy and competence in sustaining motivated behavior. Integrating these perspectives suggests that growth mindset may encourage individuals to pursue improvement, while self-management provides the behavioral processes through which developmental intentions are translated into sustained practice.

The relationship between growth mindset and the faith–knowledge–action framework can therefore be understood as complementary rather than hierarchical. Faith provides a normative orientation, knowledge supports informed learning, action enables practical implementation, and growth mindset encourages continued development when individuals encounter challenges. Dweck and Yeager (2019) emphasize that mindset-related processes operate within specific social and educational contexts, while Zimmerman (2002) demonstrates that learning effectiveness depends on strategic and reflective engagement. The proposed framework consequently positions growth mindset as a developmental orientation that supports the continuing refinement of knowledge and action without replacing the moral and spiritual foundations emphasized in the source manuscript.

### **Reconstructing the Integrated Human Excellence Development Model**

The third result is the reconstruction of an integrated human excellence development model based on the architectural analogy presented in the source manuscript. The manuscript compares human development to the construction of a resilient building consisting of foundational structures, supporting pillars, and an intended final outcome. In its original formulation, the foundations include spiritual and moral education, intellectual development, gratitude, respect for parents, honesty, worship, and character formation. The supporting pillars encompass growth mindset, the integration of human capabilities, soft skills, and future-oriented vision. The outcome represents individuals who demonstrate strong spiritual values, intellectual competence, ethical character, independence, adaptability, and meaningful social contributions. This structure provides the basis for organizing the proposed model into three interrelated developmental levels.

The first level, foundational orientation, encompasses faith, moral values, and the development of knowledge. These dimensions establish the purposes and intellectual resources that inform individual behavior. The second level, developmental capabilities, includes growth mindset, self-management, integrated competencies, interpersonal skills, and future-oriented vision. These capabilities enable individuals to translate foundational values into learning processes and practical conduct. The third level, human excellence outcomes, encompasses ethical integrity, intellectual competence, adaptive capacity, personal independence, and contributions to society. Nussbaum (2011) provides a philosophical basis for understanding human development as multidimensional, while Zimmerman (2002) and Ryan and Deci (2000) offer complementary explanations of the motivational and regulatory processes relevant to capability development. The reconstructed model thus integrates normative, cognitive, psychological, and behavioral dimensions without assuming that these dimensions are conceptually identical.

The integration of intellectual, emotional, and spiritual capabilities represents an important dimension of the proposed human excellence development model. Previous research by Masaong et al. (2024) identified positive relationships between intellectual intelligence, emotional intelligence, and students' communication skills, suggesting that human capabilities should be examined through interconnected cognitive and socio-emotional dimensions. Similarly, Marhawati et al. (2023) examined multiple intelligence-based character education

among Madrasah Ibtidaiyah teachers and reported different levels of implementation across intellectual, emotional, and spiritual dimensions. These findings provide contextual support for the multidimensional perspective developed in the present article. However, the proposed framework extends this perspective by explicitly integrating faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset as complementary components of holistic human development.

The practical realization of human excellence also requires educational environments that consistently translate moral and spiritual values into everyday behavior. Lawani et al. (2022) demonstrated how character education can be strengthened through curricular activities, extracurricular programs, and school-based habituation practices. Likewise, Ponto et al. (2023) identified religious learning activities and institutional practices associated with the development of students' religious character, discipline, honesty, and social responsibility. These studies reinforce the importance of connecting normative values with educational practices, particularly in contexts where spiritual development forms an explicit part of institutional objectives. Building on these contributions, the reconstructed model positions action as the behavioral expression of faith and knowledge, while growth mindset and self-management provide complementary orientations for continuous personal development.

A distinctive contribution of this reconstruction is the positioning of action as a connecting process between developmental capabilities and socially meaningful outcomes. Although the original manuscript emphasizes the inseparability of faith, knowledge, and action, the reconstructed model distinguishes their analytical functions to clarify how development may occur. Faith informs the purposes of action, knowledge supports its effectiveness, growth mindset encourages improvement, and self-management facilitates its consistent implementation. The quality of action is subsequently reflected in outcomes such as ethical behavior, problem-solving, professional competence, and social contribution. This interpretation extends the manuscript's architectural analogy into a conceptual explanation of how foundational orientations and developmental processes may be connected. Nevertheless, these relationships remain theoretical and should not be interpreted as empirically demonstrated pathways.

### **Human Excellence as a Multidimensional Developmental Outcome**

The final result concerns the conceptualization of human excellence as a multidimensional outcome rather than a single indicator of individual success. The source manuscript distinguishes immediate educational outputs, such as academic achievement and graduation, from longer-term outcomes reflected in independence, adaptability, professional competence, and social contribution. It identifies six principal dimensions of educational outcomes: spiritual strength, intellectual capability, moral character, soft skills, competence and independence, and meaningful contribution. This distinction is consistent with Nussbaum's (2011) argument that human development should be understood through substantive capabilities rather than narrow performance indicators. Kristjánsson (2013) likewise emphasizes the importance of character development in educational discussions, suggesting that educational quality cannot be reduced to cognitive achievement alone.

The proposed model also recognizes reflective learning as a supporting process in human development. The source manuscript discusses *tafakkur* and *tadabbur al-alam* as practices of contemplating nature to cultivate spiritual awareness, gratitude, and a deeper understanding of human responsibilities. Within the reconstructed framework, these practices are interpreted as context-specific forms of reflective engagement that may encourage individuals to connect knowledge with values and lived experience. Zimmerman (2002) highlights reflection as an important element of self-regulated learning, while Steger (2012) discusses the significance of meaning-making in interpreting human experience. These perspectives offer conceptual points

of connection without implying that the psychological theories directly validate the religious practices described in the manuscript. Reflective engagement is therefore treated as a complementary developmental process whose educational implications require further investigation.

Overall, the conceptual reconstruction suggests that human excellence may be understood through the integration of four complementary dimensions: faith as normative orientation, knowledge as intellectual capability, action as behavioral realization, and growth mindset as a commitment to continuous development. The framework contributes to the discussion of holistic human development by organizing these dimensions into a coherent developmental structure while preserving their conceptual differences. Its principal contribution lies in proposing a theoretically interpretable relationship between spiritual and moral foundations, learning-related capabilities, responsible action, and multidimensional outcomes. Consistent with Jaakkola's (2020) discussion of conceptual research, the value of this reconstruction rests on its potential to clarify theoretical relationships and generate propositions for further inquiry. Future empirical studies are needed to examine the model's applicability and explanatory value across different educational, cultural, and organizational contexts.

## Conclusion

This study reconstructs an integrated human excellence development model by synthesizing faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset within a holistic educational framework. The conceptual analysis identifies these four dimensions as complementary components of human development, in which faith provides moral and spiritual orientation, knowledge strengthens intellectual capabilities, action translates values and competencies into meaningful practices, and growth mindset supports continuous learning, adaptability, and personal improvement. Drawing on the conceptual foundations of \*Self Management and Growth Mindset\*, the proposed model integrates foundational values, developmental capabilities, and multidimensional human excellence outcomes. The principal contribution of this study lies in extending the understanding of human excellence beyond academic achievement and professional competence to encompass ethical integrity, intellectual development, personal independence, and meaningful social contributions. Nevertheless, as the model is derived from conceptual analysis, its theoretical relationships require further empirical validation.

## Suggestions

Future research should empirically examine the proposed relationships among faith, knowledge, action, and growth mindset to assess the validity and applicability of the integrated human excellence development model across different educational and organizational contexts. Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods approaches are recommended to investigate how spiritual values, intellectual capabilities, self-management, and developmental beliefs contribute to ethical behavior, adaptability, independence, and social responsibility. Educational institutions are also encouraged to consider integrating character education, intellectual development, reflective learning, and growth-oriented strategies into their educational practices.

## References

- Dweck, C. S., & Yeager, D. S. (2019). Mindsets: A view from two eras. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 14(3), 481–496. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691618804166>
- Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10(1–2), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0>

- Kristjánsson, K. (2013). Ten myths about character, virtue and virtue education—Plus three well-founded misgivings. *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 61(3), 269–287. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071005.2013.778386>
- Lawani, A., Masaong, A. K., Abdul Razak, I., & Ansar, A. (2022). Strategi penguatan pendidikan karakter sekolah unggulan. *Student Journal of Educational Management*, 2(2), 154–163. <https://doi.org/10.37411/sjem.v2i2.1166>
- MacInnis, D. J. (2011). A framework for conceptual contributions in marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(4), 136–154. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.136>
- Marhawati, B., Masaong, A. K., & Karim, H. S. (2023). Implementation of multiple intelligence-based character education to Madrasah Ibtidaiyah teachers in Gorontalo City. *International Research-Based Education Journal*, 5(2). [DOI not confirmed]
- Masaong, A. K., Djafri, N., & Utina, T. S. (2024). The relationship between intellectual intelligence and emotional intelligence with students' communication skills. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Educational Study*, 5(1), 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.47616/jamres.v5i1.514>
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2011). *Creating capabilities: The human development approach*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674061200>
- Ponto, M., Masaong, A. K., & Mas, S. R. (2023). Pengelolaan laboratorium religi dalam penguatan karakter siswa di sekolah. *Jambura Journal of Educational Management*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.37411/jjem.v4i1.2209>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Steger, M. F. (2012). Making meaning in life. *Psychological Inquiry*, 23(4), 381–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2012.720832>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2)