

Ethics in Indonesian Government Bureaucracy: Theoretical Foundations, Historical Evolution, and Contemporary Case Studies

Wa Ode Intan Kurniawati¹, Saprudin¹

¹Southeast Sulawesi University, Indonesia

Received: December 15, 2024

Received in Revised: January 17, 2025

Accepted: February 20, 2025

Abstract

Bureaucratic ethics play a crucial role in ensuring good governance, accountability, and public trust in government institutions. In Indonesia, bureaucratic ethics have evolved through various historical phases, including the traditional patrimonial system, Dutch colonial administration, post-independence governance, the New Order regime, and the Reform Era. Despite efforts to strengthen bureaucratic integrity, challenges such as corruption, nepotism, inefficiency, and political interference persist, undermining public service effectiveness. This study examines the historical evolution of bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia, the ethical challenges faced by the bureaucracy, and the reform strategies implemented to enhance good governance. The findings indicate that while Indonesia has introduced legal and institutional measures, such as Law No. 5 of 2014 on State Civil Apparatus and the establishment of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK), enforcement gaps and political resistance continue to hinder ethical governance. Additionally, e-Government initiatives and citizen participation mechanisms have been introduced to improve transparency and accountability, yet bureaucratic resistance and technological limitations pose challenges to their implementation. Strengthening bureaucratic ethics requires a multi-faceted approach, including stronger enforcement of anti-corruption laws, the promotion of merit-based recruitment, increased public engagement, and ongoing digital transformation. This study concludes that while bureaucratic reforms have contributed to some improvements, sustained efforts in governance reform, institutional strengthening, and ethical leadership are necessary to build a transparent, efficient, and accountable bureaucratic system in Indonesia.

Keywords: Bureaucratic Ethics, Good Governance, Corruption

Introduction

Bureaucracy plays a vital role in the functioning of modern governments, serving as the backbone of public administration and policy implementation. In Indonesia, government bureaucracy has evolved through various historical phases, each influenced by different political, economic, and social contexts. From traditional patrimonial rule to colonial administration, and from the centralized New Order governance to contemporary reforms, Indonesia's bureaucratic structure has continuously adapted to meet governance challenges (Bourguignon & Platteau, 2023; Bade, 2024). However, despite these transformations, bureaucratic ethics remain a critical issue, influencing governance quality, service delivery, and public trust.

This introduction provides an overview of bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia, its conceptual foundation, historical background, and its significance in fostering good governance. Ethics in bureaucracy refers to the principles and moral values that guide the behavior of public officials in carrying out their duties. Ethical governance ensures that public administration operates with integrity, transparency, accountability, and fairness, ultimately serving the public interest (Svara, 2021). Max Weber's theory of bureaucracy, which emphasizes rationality, hierarchical

structures, and rule-based administration, provides a foundational framework for understanding bureaucratic operations. However, ethics extend beyond procedural efficiency and require a commitment to moral responsibility, preventing corruption, abuse of power, and inefficiency in government institutions.

In Indonesia, bureaucratic ethics are shaped by legal frameworks, cultural values, and institutional practices. Laws such as Law No. 5 of 2014 on State Civil Apparatus aim to uphold ethical standards among civil servants, ensuring professionalism and accountability. Additionally, good governance principles such as transparency, participation, and efficiency serve as guidelines for ethical bureaucratic conduct. Despite these regulatory efforts, challenges such as nepotism, corruption, and bureaucratic inefficiency continue to undermine ethical governance in Indonesia.

The ethical landscape of Indonesia's bureaucracy has been shaped by its historical governance models. In the traditional patrimonial system, bureaucratic structures were closely tied to royal authority, where officials served the interests of the monarchy rather than the general public. This system fostered a culture of loyalty to rulers but lacked accountability mechanisms, allowing for unchecked power and favoritism.

During the Dutch colonial administration (1602–1942), Indonesia's bureaucracy was restructured to support colonial interests. The Dutch introduced a hierarchical bureaucratic system that emphasized efficiency but was largely exploitative, prioritizing European officials while marginalizing local administrators. This period established bureaucratic practices that were rigid, top-down, and designed to maintain control rather than serve public interests.

Following Indonesia's independence in 1945, the bureaucracy underwent nationalization, with many former colonial officials being replaced by Indonesians. However, the bureaucratic culture remained highly hierarchical and centralized. During the Guided Democracy era (1959–1965) under President Sukarno, political influence over the bureaucracy increased, leading to patronage-based appointments and weakened ethical accountability.

The New Order era (1966–1998) under President Suharto marked a period of bureaucratic expansion, with government officials enjoying significant privileges and power. While the administration promoted stability and economic growth, it also institutionalized corruption, nepotism, and a lack of transparency. Bureaucratic positions were often used as tools for political and economic gain, diminishing ethical standards in governance.

The Reformasi period (post-1998) brought significant changes, aiming to decentralize governance and enhance bureaucratic ethics. Laws promoting transparency, anti-corruption measures, and civil service reforms were introduced to improve accountability and public service quality. However, despite these reforms, challenges such as bureaucratic inefficiency, political intervention, and ethical lapses persist.

Ethical bureaucracy is essential for achieving good governance, which is characterized by accountability, transparency, rule of law, responsiveness, and citizen participation. A well-functioning bureaucracy enhances public trust, ensures effective service delivery, and strengthens democratic institutions. Conversely, unethical practices—such as corruption, favoritism, and inefficiency erode governance quality and hinder national development.

In Indonesia, corruption remains a major challenge, affecting various levels of government administration. The Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) has played a crucial role in addressing unethical bureaucratic practices, but systemic issues continue to pose obstacles to ethical governance. Additionally, political interference in bureaucratic appointments often

compromises professionalism and meritocracy, allowing unqualified individuals to occupy strategic government positions.

Methods

This study employed a literature review methodology to examine bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia, focusing on its conceptual foundations, historical evolution, and contemporary challenges. The research analyzed academic works, government regulations, and historical records to provide a comprehensive understanding of bureaucratic ethics within the Indonesian public administration system. The primary sources included scholarly articles, books, and government documents that discussed bureaucratic structures, ethical frameworks, and governance reforms in Indonesia. Works by Max Weber on bureaucracy, along with theories of governance and public administration, were reviewed to establish the theoretical foundation of bureaucratic ethics. Additionally, historical texts detailing Indonesia's bureaucratic development from the colonial era to the present were examined to track changes in ethical standards and governance structures. To assess contemporary issues in bureaucratic ethics, the study reviewed policy documents, legal frameworks, and reports from institutions such as the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK), the National Civil Service Agency (BKN), and the Ministry of Administrative and Bureaucratic Reform (KemenPAN-RB). These sources provided insights into the implementation of ethical standards, challenges in bureaucratic accountability, and the effectiveness of governance reforms. The literature review also incorporated comparative studies on bureaucratic ethics in other countries to identify best practices and potential strategies for improving Indonesia's bureaucratic integrity. Furthermore, media reports, case studies, and empirical research findings were analyzed to highlight real-world examples of bureaucratic corruption, ethical violations, and reform efforts in Indonesia. A thematic analysis approach was applied to categorize the literature into key themes, including bureaucratic ethics in governance, historical development of Indonesia's bureaucracy, ethical challenges, and reform strategies. This method allowed for a structured examination of how ethical principles were integrated into bureaucratic practices and how these principles evolved over time. By synthesizing insights from multiple sources, this study provided a critical evaluation of bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia, identifying key gaps in ethical governance and proposing recommendations for future improvements. The findings contributed to a broader understanding of the role of bureaucratic ethics in shaping governance effectiveness and public trust in government institutions.

Results and Discussion

Theoretical Foundations of Bureaucratic Ethics

Bureaucratic ethics form the foundation of a well-functioning government by ensuring accountability, integrity, and professionalism among public officials. Ethical bureaucracy is essential for maintaining public trust and fostering effective governance (Fauzi et al., 2022). The concept of bureaucratic ethics is deeply rooted in various administrative theories that emphasize the importance of structured governance, rule-based administration, and moral responsibility in public service. The principles of bureaucratic ethics are closely tied to governance theories, including Max Weber's ideal bureaucracy, New Public Management (NPM), and Good Governance Theory, which all contribute to the development of ethical bureaucratic systems.

Max Weber's theory of bureaucracy is one of the most influential frameworks in understanding bureaucratic ethics. Weber proposed that an ideal bureaucracy should be based on hierarchical structures, a clear division of labor, merit-based recruitment, and adherence to formal rules and regulations. According to Weber, a rational and impersonal bureaucracy ensures fairness,

consistency, and efficiency in public administration. Ethical governance, within the Weberian framework, is maintained through legal-rational authority, where officials make decisions based on codified laws rather than personal interests. However, while Weber's model promotes efficiency and predictability, critics argue that excessive bureaucracy may lead to rigidity and red tape, potentially hindering ethical decision-making in complex governance situations.

Beyond Weberian bureaucracy, the emergence of New Public Management (NPM) introduced a shift toward efficiency-driven and market-oriented governance. NPM emphasizes performance-based management, decentralization, and privatization as mechanisms to improve bureaucratic effectiveness. In the context of bureaucratic ethics, NPM advocates for greater transparency, accountability, and responsiveness to public needs. By focusing on results and service delivery, NPM reduces opportunities for corruption and enhances ethical conduct in government institutions. However, critics argue that excessive privatization may weaken public accountability, as profit motives could overshadow ethical responsibilities in public administration.

The Good Governance Theory further refines bureaucratic ethics by integrating principles such as transparency, participation, accountability, and responsiveness in governance structures (Azelmad, 2024). Good governance ensures that public officials operate with ethical integrity, adhere to legal standards, and engage in decision-making processes that prioritize the public interest. Ethical bureaucratic practices under good governance include mechanisms for citizen participation, anti-corruption policies, and institutional checks and balances. In Indonesia, good governance reforms have been implemented through initiatives such as e-Government systems, civil service reforms, and anti-corruption campaigns led by the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK).

Ethical challenges in bureaucracy often arise from conflicts between administrative structures and political influences (Gruber, 2023). Patron-client relationships, nepotism, and political intervention can compromise bureaucratic integrity, leading to unethical practices such as favoritism in hiring, misallocation of resources, and weak enforcement of regulations. Ethical bureaucratic governance requires strong institutional frameworks, independent oversight bodies, and continuous ethical training for public officials to uphold professional integrity and prevent corruption.

Bureaucratic ethics are essential for promoting accountability, transparency, and efficiency in governance. Theoretical perspectives, including Weberian bureaucracy, New Public Management, and Good Governance Theory, provide foundational principles for ethical bureaucratic conduct. While these frameworks offer guidelines for maintaining ethical standards, practical challenges such as corruption, political interference, and bureaucratic inefficiency require continuous reforms and enforcement mechanisms. Strengthening ethical governance in Indonesia necessitates a combination of legal regulations, institutional reforms, and public engagement, ensuring that bureaucratic ethics align with democratic principles and public service objectives.

Historical Evolution of Bureaucratic Ethics in Indonesia

The development of bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia has been shaped by its historical governance systems, ranging from traditional kingdoms to modern democratic governance (Hidayat, 2023). Each period introduced different ethical norms and administrative structures that influenced bureaucratic practices. The evolution of Indonesia's bureaucracy can be categorized into several key phases, including the traditional patrimonial era, colonial administration, post-independence governance, the New Order period, and the Reform Era.

Throughout these phases, bureaucratic ethics have been affected by cultural values, political influences, and governance reforms.

During the traditional patrimonial era, bureaucratic structures were closely linked to the authority of kings and sultans. Bureaucrats served as extensions of royal power, and their primary duty was to uphold the interests of the monarchy rather than the welfare of the general public (Singleton-Green, 2022). The governance system was hierarchical, with loyalty and personal relationships playing a dominant role in bureaucratic appointments. Ethical accountability was limited, as decisions were based on the ruler's discretion rather than codified regulations. This system fostered a culture of favoritism and patronage, where public service positions were granted based on personal loyalty rather than merit.

The Dutch colonial administration (1602–1942) introduced a more structured bureaucratic system in Indonesia, emphasizing efficiency and hierarchical control. However, ethical governance remained weak, as the colonial bureaucracy primarily served Dutch economic and political interests rather than the local population. The colonial government implemented strict administrative regulations but allowed discriminatory policies that marginalized native Indonesians from higher bureaucratic positions. Corruption and exploitation were widespread, as colonial officials prioritized maintaining control over the region rather than ensuring fair governance. The introduction of the *pangreh praja* (colonial bureaucrats) created a rigid administrative structure, but ethical concerns persisted due to the lack of transparency and citizen participation.

Following Indonesia's independence in 1945, the bureaucracy was nationalized, and many former colonial officials were replaced with Indonesian administrators. However, the transition did not immediately result in an ethical bureaucratic culture (Turner et al., 2022). The post-independence bureaucracy was characterized by political interference and inefficiency, as the new government struggled to establish a professional civil service. During President Sukarno's Guided Democracy (1959–1965), bureaucratic ethics were further compromised due to the growing influence of political parties over civil service appointments. The administration became heavily politicized, with government positions often used as rewards for political loyalty rather than professional competence. This period saw an increase in bureaucratic inefficiency and weak enforcement of ethical standards.

The New Order era (1966–1998) under President Suharto marked a significant shift in bureaucratic governance. While the regime promoted economic stability and bureaucratic expansion, it also institutionalized corruption, nepotism, and authoritarian control over administrative structures (Saha & Sen, 2021). Bureaucratic positions were often used as tools for political and economic gain, with officials engaging in rent-seeking behaviors and patron-client relationships. The ethical integrity of the bureaucracy deteriorated as public officials prioritized personal enrichment over public service. Despite efforts to establish bureaucratic discipline, the centralized nature of governance limited transparency and accountability (Bernstein & Rodríguez, 2023). The concentration of power in the executive branch allowed corruption to flourish, making it difficult to enforce ethical standards.

The Reform Era (1998–present) brought significant efforts to improve bureaucratic ethics through democratization, decentralization, and anti-corruption reforms. The fall of Suharto's regime led to widespread calls for government accountability and the strengthening of ethical governance principles. The introduction of Law No. 5 of 2014 on the State Civil Apparatus aimed to promote merit-based recruitment and professionalism in the civil service. Additionally, institutions such as the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) were established to combat bureaucratic corruption and enforce ethical accountability among public officials. The implementation of e-Government systems and digital transparency initiatives

further contributed to reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies and unethical practices (Sabani, 2021). Despite these reforms, challenges such as political interference, weak enforcement mechanisms, and cultural resistance to change continue to hinder the full realization of ethical governance in Indonesia.

The historical evolution of bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia reflects a complex interplay of traditional governance values, colonial influences, political transitions, and contemporary reform efforts. While the country has made significant progress in establishing ethical bureaucratic standards, persistent challenges remain in ensuring full accountability, transparency, and public service integrity. Strengthening bureaucratic ethics requires continuous legal and institutional reforms, coupled with active citizen participation and a commitment to professionalizing the civil service.

Ethical Challenges in Indonesia's Bureaucracy

Indonesia's bureaucracy faces persistent ethical challenges that hinder governance effectiveness, public service delivery, and institutional integrity (Mendy, 2023). Despite various reforms, issues such as corruption, nepotism, bureaucratic inefficiency, political interference, and weak enforcement of ethical standards continue to affect the administrative system. These challenges have deep historical roots and are further exacerbated by cultural norms, structural weaknesses, and inconsistent implementation of governance policies. Addressing these ethical issues is crucial for strengthening good governance, ensuring public trust, and improving government accountability.

One of the most significant ethical challenges in Indonesia's bureaucracy is corruption, which has deeply infiltrated public institutions at various levels. Corrupt practices, including bribery, embezzlement, favoritism, and misallocation of public funds, remain widespread, despite anti-corruption measures. Many public officials use their positions for personal gain rather than serving the public interest. The Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) has made considerable efforts to combat corruption, leading to the prosecution of numerous high-ranking officials. However, political resistance, legal loopholes, and weak institutional oversight often allow corruption networks to persist. Corruption in bureaucracy not only results in financial losses for the state but also weakens service delivery, making essential public services such as healthcare, education, and infrastructure development less accessible and efficient.

Nepotism and patron-client relationships also pose serious ethical concerns in Indonesia's bureaucracy. Many bureaucratic appointments are based on personal connections, family ties, or political affiliations rather than merit and competence. This practice undermines professionalism and discourages talented individuals from entering public service. The dominance of political patronage in bureaucratic recruitment leads to inefficiency, lack of innovation, and poor decision-making, as officials often prioritize loyalty to their superiors over public service excellence. Efforts to implement merit-based recruitment and promotion systems, such as through Law No. 5 of 2014 on State Civil Apparatus, have aimed to reduce nepotism, but enforcement remains inconsistent, particularly at regional and local government levels.

Another major ethical issue is bureaucratic inefficiency and excessive red tape, which result in slow decision-making, delays in service delivery, and public dissatisfaction. Many government agencies impose complicated administrative procedures and unnecessary regulations, making it difficult for citizens to access public services efficiently. Bureaucratic inefficiency not only increases operational costs but also creates opportunities for corruption, as individuals may be forced to pay bribes to expedite processes. The implementation of e-Government initiatives, such as online public service systems, has helped reduce inefficiencies in some areas, but many

bureaucratic institutions still struggle with outdated procedures, lack of digital literacy, and resistance to change.

Political interference in bureaucracy further complicates ethical governance. Many government positions are influenced by political party affiliations, leading to the appointment of officials based on political loyalty rather than qualifications. This results in a lack of bureaucratic independence, where civil servants are pressured to align policies with political agendas rather than prioritizing public needs. Political intervention also affects law enforcement, policy implementation, and budget allocations, leading to biased decision-making and mismanagement of public resources. Although decentralization reforms have given local governments more autonomy, they have also increased opportunities for political elites to influence bureaucratic processes for personal or party benefits.

Weak enforcement of ethical standards and accountability mechanisms remains a critical challenge in Indonesia's bureaucracy. Although various laws and institutions exist to promote integrity, implementation gaps, lack of oversight, and legal loopholes often allow unethical behavior to go unpunished. Many civil servants are aware of ethical codes of conduct but feel that enforcement is selective or politically motivated. Additionally, whistleblower protections are weak, discouraging individuals from reporting misconduct for fear of retaliation. Strengthening oversight institutions, ensuring impartial enforcement of laws, and promoting a culture of ethics through continuous training and education are necessary steps to improve bureaucratic accountability.

Ethical challenges in Indonesia's bureaucracy stem from systemic corruption, nepotism, inefficiency, political interference, and weak enforcement of ethical standards. While reforms have been introduced to address these issues, significant structural and cultural barriers remain. Strengthening anti-corruption mechanisms, ensuring merit-based recruitment, enhancing bureaucratic transparency, and promoting ethical leadership are essential for building a more accountable and effective government administration. Without continuous efforts to address these ethical challenges, Indonesia's bureaucratic system will continue to struggle with inefficiencies and public distrust.

Reform Strategies and Good Governance

Efforts to improve bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia have been centered on reform strategies aimed at enhancing transparency, accountability, and efficiency in government administration (Suprayitno & Abbas, 2024). These reforms are essential for promoting good governance, which ensures that public institutions operate with integrity, responsiveness, and a strong commitment to serving the public interest. Various strategies have been implemented to address systemic issues such as corruption, nepotism, inefficiency, and political interference, with a focus on strengthening legal frameworks, digital governance, public participation, and bureaucratic professionalism.

One of the most significant reform strategies has been the implementation of legal and institutional measures to combat corruption and enhance ethical governance. The establishment of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) has played a crucial role in investigating and prosecuting corrupt officials, leading to the conviction of numerous high-ranking bureaucrats and politicians (Ariani & Prasetyoningsih, 2022). Additionally, the introduction of Law No. 5 of 2014 on State Civil Apparatus was intended to create a more meritocratic and professional civil service by ensuring that bureaucratic appointments and promotions are based on competence rather than personal connections. However, despite these legal efforts, challenges remain in enforcement, as political elites often attempt to weaken anti-corruption institutions and manipulate regulations to maintain control over bureaucratic structures.

Another key reform strategy involves the digitalization of bureaucracy through e-Government initiatives, which aim to reduce inefficiency, minimize human intervention in administrative processes, and limit opportunities for corruption. Online platforms for public services, such as e-Procurement, e-Budgeting, and e-Tax systems, have helped increase transparency and reduce bureaucratic red tape. These digital reforms allow for greater public oversight, ensuring that financial transactions and government decision-making processes are more transparent. However, the effectiveness of digital governance depends on the adoption of new technologies, the digital literacy of civil servants, and the willingness of institutions to embrace change. Many government agencies still struggle with outdated systems, resistance to reform, and gaps in technological infrastructure, particularly in rural and underdeveloped regions.

Public participation has also been a vital component of governance reforms in Indonesia. Encouraging citizen engagement, whistleblower protection, and participatory policymaking helps create a more accountable bureaucracy. Mechanisms such as public consultations, citizen feedback platforms, and community-based monitoring initiatives have been introduced to strengthen government responsiveness to public needs. Involving civil society organizations and independent watchdog groups in monitoring bureaucratic performance has also helped expose unethical practices and advocate for policy improvements. However, political resistance, bureaucratic culture, and limited access to information continue to hinder full citizen participation in governance.

Additionally, enhancing bureaucratic professionalism and ethical leadership has been a focus of governance reform. Training programs, ethics education, and leadership development initiatives have been introduced to instill a culture of integrity among civil servants. By promoting performance-based evaluations and continuous professional development, the government aims to build a bureaucracy that is more competent, transparent, and service-oriented. However, challenges such as weak enforcement of ethical codes, lack of incentives for ethical behavior, and persistent patron-client relationships remain obstacles to achieving a fully professionalized civil service.

Reform strategies aimed at improving bureaucratic ethics in Indonesia have focused on strengthening legal frameworks, digitalizing governance, increasing public participation, and promoting bureaucratic professionalism. While significant progress has been made in enhancing transparency and accountability, persistent challenges such as corruption, political interference, and resistance to change continue to affect governance effectiveness. Achieving good governance requires sustained commitment from government institutions, civil society, and the public to ensure that ethical principles are upheld, bureaucratic reforms are effectively implemented, and public trust in government administration is restored.

Conclusion

The evolution of Indonesia's bureaucracy has been shaped by historical legacies, political dynamics, and governance reforms, all of which have influenced ethical standards within public administration. While efforts to strengthen bureaucratic ethics through legal frameworks, digital governance, public participation, and professional development have yielded some progress, challenges such as corruption, nepotism, inefficiency, and political interference continue to hinder the realization of a fully accountable and transparent bureaucracy. The persistence of these issues underscores the need for stronger enforcement mechanisms, independent oversight institutions, and a cultural shift toward ethical governance. Achieving good governance in Indonesia requires a sustained commitment to reform, greater public engagement, and the continuous professionalization of civil servants, ensuring that bureaucratic institutions serve the public interest with integrity, efficiency, and accountability. Moving forward, a combination of policy improvements, technological advancements, and

institutional strengthening will be essential to building a bureaucracy that is both ethically responsible and capable of delivering high-quality public services to the Indonesian people

References

- Ariani, S. A. F., & Prasetyoningsih, N. (2022). Fighting Corruption Post Revision of the Act of the Corruption Eradication Commission. *Media of Law and Sharia*, 3(3), 235-254.
- Azelmad, S. (2024). *eGovernment Whole-of-Government Approach for Good Governance: The Back-Office Integrated Management IT Systems*. CRC Press.
- Bade, Z. A. (2024). Dialectics of Traditional Authority and Post-Colonial Modern State: The Case of Somaliland. *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences*, 2(1), 468-479.
- Bernstein, A., & Rodríguez, C. (2023). The accountable bureaucrat. *YALE LJ*, 132, 1600.
- Bourguignon, F., & Platteau, J. P. (2023). A Patrimonial Regime with Multiple Oligarchs. *State Capture and Rent-Seeking in Benin: The Institutional Diagnostic Project*, 349.
- Fauzi, A., Sukaesih, R., Sauri, S., & Handayani, S. (2022). Public Trust, Ethic and Integrity in the Bureaucracy. *PPSDP International Journal of Education*, 1(2), 196-202.
- Gruber, J. (2023). *Controlling bureaucracies: Dilemmas in democratic governance*. Univ of California Press.
- Hidayat, F. (2023). The Impact Of Bureaucratic Reform On Indonesian Governance: A Perspective Review Of Academic Literature. *Jurnal Politik Pemerintahan Dharma Praja*, 16(2), 169-196.
- Mendy, O. (2023). Ethics and accountability in government bureaucracy. *Pancasila and Law Review*, 4(2), 107-116.
- Sabani, A. (2021). Investigating the influence of transparency on the adoption of e-Government in Indonesia. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 12(2), 236-255.
- Saha, S., & Sen, K. (2021). The corruption–growth relationship: does the political regime matter?. *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 17(2), 243-266.
- Singleton-Green, B. (2022). Why has monarchy been so successful?. Available at SSRN 3747057.
- Suprayitno, N. F., & Abbas, S. A. (2024). Bureaucratic Transformation in Indonesia: An In-Depth Analysis from the Perspective of Public Administration. *Mandat: Journal of Politics, Government, and Public Administration*, 1(1), 34-51.
- Svara, J. H. (2021). *The ethics primer for public administrators in government and nonprofit organizations*. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Turner, M., Prasojo, E., & Sumarwono, R. (2022). The challenge of reforming big bureaucracy in Indonesia. *Policy Studies*, 43(2), 333-351.